An Automatic Infants Cry Detection Using Linear Frequency Cepstrum Coefficients (LFCC)
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ABSTRACT: In this paper, we mainly focused on automation of Infant’s Cry. For this implementation we use LFCC for feature extraction and VQ codebook for matching samples using LBG algorithm. The baby crying samples collected from various crying baby having 0–6 months age. There are 150 baby’s sound as training data, each of which represents the 30 hungry infant cries, 30 sleepy infant cries, 30 wanted to burp infant cries, 30 in pain infant cries, and 30 uncomfortable infant cries (could be because his/her diaper is wet/too hot/cold air or anything else). The testing data is 40, respectively 8 infant cries for each type of infant cry. The identification of infant cries based the minimum distance of Euclidean distance. The classification of the cry in five classes “neh” – hunger, “owh” – sleepy, “eh” – discomfort, “air” – lower gas, “eh” – burp. Here for classification of the cry our system is divided into two phases. First, in training phase, in which LFCC is applied for feature extraction, and then VQ codebooks are generated to compress the feature vectors. Second, is the testing phase in which features extraction and codebook generation of samples are repeated. Here, comparison of the codebook template of samples to the all the available templates in the database are carried based on Euclidian distance between them. LFCC effectively capture the lower as well as higher frequency characteristics than MFCC, hence we will get good results over MFCC.
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1 INTRODUCTION

The first verbal communication of newborn baby with the world is baby’s cry. Infant crying is a biological alarm system. An infant crying signal is the attention call for parents or caregivers and motivates them to alleviate the distress. Currently, there is a system that learns the meaning of a 0–3 month old infant cries which is called Dunstan Baby Language (DBL). DBL is introduced by Priscilla Dunstan, an Australian musician who has got talent to remember all kinds of sounds, known as sound photograph. According to DBL version, there are five baby languages: “neh” means hunger, “owh” means tired which indicates that the baby is getting sleepy, “eh” means the baby wants to burp, “airh” means pain (wind) in the stomach, and “eh” means uncomfortable (could be due to a wet diaper, too hot or cold air, or anything else). Infant crying is characterized by its periodic nature, i.e., alternating cry utterances and inspirations. By using a rapid flow of air through the larynx burst sound is produced, because of that there is repeated opening and closing of the vocal folds, which in turn generates periodic excitation. This excitation is transferred through the vocal tract to produce the cry sound, which normally has a fundamental frequency (pitch) of 250–600 Hz. The acoustic signal of an infant’s cry contains valuable information about their physical and physiological condition, such as health, weight, identity, gender and emotions. Here for cry detection, zero-crossing rate (ZCR) and fundamental frequency [1]. Fast Fourier transforms coefficients, were determined and analyzed to detect the crying signals. In clinical settings one can assume noise-free conditions, and the research depends on finding subtle difference between cries that may be used for diagnostic purposes. In contrast to the clinical settings the detection problem does not assume noise-free conditions. In other words, the focus is on robustness in detecting crying signals in noisy and unpredictable environments. In this paper, we explain an analysis of infants’ cry and present an algorithm for cry detection, which is aimed to alert parents in potential physical danger situations. The proposed algorithm is based on two main stages. The first stage involves feature extraction using LFCC, in which pitch related parameters, are extracted from the signal. In the second stage, the signal is classified using Vector Quantization and later verified as a cry signal. LFCC is based on linear-frequency cepstral coefficients instead of MFCC as a short-time feature. LFCC effectively capture the lower as well as higher frequency characteristics than MFCC [2]. Also, mel-frequency cepstral coefficients (MFCCs) and short-time energy were used to develop a noise-robust crying detection system [3] Motivated by this, we use LFCC for robust performances than MFCC. We hope that, by capturing more spectral details in the high frequency region, the linear scale in frequency may provide some advantages in speaker recognition over the mel scale.

2 REVIEWS OF PRIOR CRY DETECTION TECHNIQUES

Researchers did research on infant cries, such as: cries classification of normal and abnormal (hypoxia-oxygen lacks) infant by using a neural network which produces 85% accuracy [4], the classification of healthy infants and infants who experienced pain like brain damage, lip cleft palate, hydrocephalus, and sudden infant death syndrome by using Hidden Markov Model (HMM) which produces 91% accuracy [5]. Previous classification of infant cries studies have used a neural network or HMM as the classifier. The research to identify the DBL infant cries used codebook as a classifier or pattern identifier which is obtained from the k-means clustering and MFCC as feature extraction. The choice of the method is based on the high accuracy results from the researches, such as: automatic recognition of birdsongs using MFCC and Vector Quantization (VQ) codebook and produces 85% accuracy [6]. Besides, the research about speaker recognition system also successfully created by using MFCC and VQ [7]. The same research that Singh and Rajan did, got 98. 57% [8] accuracy by doing speaker recognition research using MFCC and VQ. The research
about speech recognition and verification using MFCC and VQ which Patel and Prasad (2013) did can do recognition with training error rate about 13% [9]. The making of this codebook using k-means clustering.

3 ANALYSIS OF INFANT CRYING

Infant crying signals have the distinct temporal and spectral characteristics, which are very important cues in distinguishing them from general sounds such as speech. Fig. 1 shows the typical spectrograms of speech and infant crying signal.

![Typical Examples of Spectrograms](image)

Figure 1. Typical examples of spectrograms of (a) speech signal from conversation, (b) infant crying signal.

In general, the speech signals shown in Fig. 1(a) have low pitch in the range of 100-300 Hz and corresponding clear harmonics in the lower frequency region below 2 kHz, whereas the harmonic structure becomes drastically weaker as the frequency increases. Means, most energy tends to be focused on the lower frequency region, and the transitional patterns of speech are manifold in that region. This is the reason why mel-scale frequency warping is promising for speech recognition [10]. Fig. 1(b) show distinct time-frequency patterns. In general, it has high pitch of about 500 Hz and has duration of about 500-700 ms. Further, infant crying is characterized by its periodic nature, alternating crying and inspirations. Also, there are clear harmonic structures and unique melody patterns within the target region. Therefore, characterizing these distinctive and regular patterns is important in effectively detecting the infant crying sounds. In this paper, we employ LFCC to capture the global time-frequency characteristics of infant crying sounds. In addition, LFCC is proposed to reflect local transitions. Crying signals relatively have mild variations with time. Thus, it is expected that LFCC can provide a better results for infant’s cry.

4 MATERIALS AND METHODS

4.1 Data Collection

The cry signals used in this paper were recorded from the Neonatal Intensive Care Unit (NICU) of Department of Neonatology, Nobal Hospital, and Pune. This cry signals of babies ranging in age between 0 - 9 months. In order to evaluate the performance of the proposed algorithm in a noisy environment, we used several types of noise, including engines, passers-by, motorcycles and speech signals, obtained from several databases. The data is divided into two, training data and testing data. There are 140 training data, each of which represents the 28 hungry infant cries, 28 sleepy infant cries, 28 wanted to burp infant cries, 28 in pain infant cries, and 28 uncomfortable infant cries (could be because his diaper is wet/too hot/cold air or anything else). The testing data is 35, respectively 7 infant cries for each type of infant cry.

4.2. METHODOLOGY

The methodology of this research consists of several stages of process: data collection, preprocessing, codebook modeling of infant cries, testing and analysis, and interface manufacturing. The methodology of the infant cries is shown in Figure 2. The methodology consists following steps

Step1: By using LFCC extracting the features from the input speech samples.

Step2: Training the features and generating the code book of them using Vector Quantization technique.

Step3: Extract the features of test sample and measure the Euclidian distance to all trained samples in code book.

Step4: Return the sample as matched sample which is near to the test sample.

![Methodology Diagram](image)

Figure 2: The methodology of identifying the meaning of a crying baby.

Below is the basic framework of emotion classification.
The methodology of LFCC is same as MFCC. The only difference is that the Mel frequency filter bank is replaced by linear-frequency filter bank. Thus, the desired frequency range is implemented by a filter-bank of 40 equal-width and equal-height linearly spaced filters. The bandwidth of each filter is 164 Hz, and the whole filter-bank covers the frequency range [133, 6857] Hz.

**4.5.1 LFCC Methodology**

The methodology of LFCC is same as MFCC. The only difference is that the Mel frequency filter bank is replaced by linear-frequency filter bank. Thus, the desired frequency range is implemented by a filter-bank of 40 equal-width and equal-height linearly spaced filters. The bandwidth of each filter is 164 Hz, and the whole filter-bank covers the frequency range [133, 6857] Hz.

**4.5.2 Speaker Database**

The first step is to build a speaker database, Cdatabase = {C1,C2, ..., CN} consisting of N codebooks, one for each speaker in the database. This is done by first converting the raw input signal into a sequence of feature vectors X = \{x1, ..., xT\}. These feature vectors are clustered into a set of M codewords, C = \{c1, ..., cM\}. The set of codewords is called a codebook. The clustering is done by a clustering algorithm, and K-means clustering algorithm is used for this purpose.

**4.5.2 K-means**

In speech processing K-means algorithm is widely used. “K” is pre-selected and simply refers to the number of desired clusters. One way to compute the code vectors of the training set is to start with an arbitrary random initial estimate of
the code vectors and to apply the nearest neighbor condition and the centroid condition iteratively, until a termination criterion is satisfied. The K-means algorithm partitions the X feature vectors into M centroids. The algorithm first chooses M cluster centroids among the X feature vectors. Then each feature vector is assigned to the nearest centroid, and the new centroids are calculated. This procedure is continued until a stopping criterion is met, that is the mean square error between the feature vectors and the cluster-centroids is below a certain threshold or there is no more change in the cluster-centre assignment.

![Figure 5: K-means with 3 clusters](image)

4.5.3 Speaker Matching
In the recognition phase an unknown speaker, represented by a sequence of feature vectors \( \{x_1, \ldots, x_T\} \), is compared with the codebooks in the database. For each codebook a distortion measure is computed, and the speaker with the lowest distortion is chosen. One way to define the distortion measure is to use the average of the Euclidean Distances. The Euclidean distance is the ordinary distance between the two points that one would measure with a ruler. Thus, each feature vector in the sequence X is compared with all the codebooks, and the codebook with the minimized average distance is chosen to be the best.

5 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION
Considered two samples

1. Sample one

![Figure 6: Results after pre-processing of sample one](image)

WINDOWING
For windowing we used hamming window which acts like a filter which optimizes to minimize the nearest side lobe.

![Figure 7: Signal after Windowing](image)

![Figure 8: Representation of LFCC feature of sample one](image)

1\textsuperscript{st} Result of Identification of Infant’s cry Displayed:
Results of Speaker Recognition: The Recognized Speaker and Trainer 1 Match!
2\textsuperscript{nd} Result displays emotions of IdentifiedBaby: Baby Is Hungry!!!!

2. Sample Two

![Figure 9: Results after pre-processing of sample two](image)

After LFCC

![Figure 10: Representation of LFCC feature of sample two](image)

1\textsuperscript{st} Result of Identification of Infant’s cry Displayed:
Results of Speaker Recognition: The Recognized Speaker and Trainer 12 Match!

2nd Result displays emotions of IdentifiedBaby:

Baby Is Sleepy!!

Figure 11: The Interface of Identification of Infant Cries

5.1 Accuracy Computation
We have computer recognition rate for MFCC and LFCC with proposed architecture given above.

Recognition_rate = number_of_speech_recognized /number_of_speech_presented;

From below table 1, it is clearly showing the performance of proposed approach is improved significantly as compared to existing MFCC method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test Cases</th>
<th>LFCC</th>
<th>MFCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>92.30 %</td>
<td>81.2 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>89.1 %</td>
<td>84.33 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>93.33 %</td>
<td>80.89 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Recognition Rate</td>
<td>91.58 %</td>
<td>78.8 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Recognition Rate Performance Analysis

5.2 Results of Classification
This is another major aim of this project; using the fusion of LFCC we achieved the identification of speech disorders. We additionally used the KNN and FFNN for identification and classification purpose. Following graph in figure 11 is showing the accuracy of proposed system classification if total numbers of training samples are 120 for different emotional cry of different babies.

Figure 12: Accuracy Performance of Proposed System.

Below table 2 is showing the performance of classification for existing and proposed approach.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of Training Samples</th>
<th>LFCC</th>
<th>MFCC</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>120</td>
<td>97.3 %</td>
<td>93.45 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>160</td>
<td>92.45 %</td>
<td>83.75 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200</td>
<td>93.33 %</td>
<td>80.1 %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Classification Accuracy</td>
<td>91.02 %</td>
<td>85.76 %</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Performance of Classification Accuracy Using FFNN Classifier

From above all results, the proposed method improved performance not only for speaker verification but also for speech disorder detection and classification.

6 Conclusion
With the help of Codebook model and LFCC we easily identified infant's baby and verified his/her emotions by using KNN with the higher accuracy. The distance using which produce the higher accuracy is euclidean distance. That model can produce accuracy recognition of infant cries with the higher about 94%. The research is just cut the silent at the beginning and at the end of speech signal. Hopefully, in the next research, the silent can be cut in the middle of sound so that it can produce more specific sound. It has impact on the bigger accuracy as well. LFCC resulting higher formant frequencies in speech. LFCC is as robust as MFCC.
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